

GROUPE QUALITAS INC.

3306, boulevard St-François Jonquière (Québec) Canada G7X 2W9 www.qualitas.qc.ca

Tél.: 418-547-5716 Téléc.: 418-547-0374

RENTECH 10887 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 600 Los Angeles, California, USA 90024

Geotechnical Engineering Report Construction of New Industrial Facilities Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill

Project No.: 7001420

Distribution: Mr. Christopher Amey, Director Project Development (Rentech) (PDF)

GROUPE QUALITAS INC.

Alain Duchesne, Eng. Geotechnical Engineer OIQ member No. : 134808 May 13, 2014



<u>TABLEOFCONTENTS</u>

Page	
I age	

1.0	INTRODUCTION1
2.0	SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION
3.0	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION SUMMARY
4.0	GROUND CONDITIONS64.1General4.2Surficial layers64.3Glacial deposit (till)74.4Bedrock4.5Ground water11
5.0	GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS125.1Subsurface Conditions Summary125.2Project Summary125.3Frost Protection135.3.1Freezing Index and Frost Penetration135.3.2Frost Protection for Shallow Foundations on Soil135.4Stability of excavations and dewatering135.4.1Sloping of trench walls135.4.2Water Control145.5Backfilling of Foundations145.6Slab-on-Grade and Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (ks)155.7Rock Anchors155.8Rock Blasting165.9Interaction of Adjacent Footings175.10Seismic site Classification18
6.0	BEARING CAPACITIES AND SETTLEMENTS196.1General196.2Subsurface Conditions196.3General Recommendations for Shallow Foundations196.4Rock Foundations216.5Foundations on Undisturbed Till22

6.6	fill material	.23	i
-----	---------------	-----	---

LIST OF APPENDICES

Number of pages

APPENDIX 1	- Geotechnical logs explanation sheet	. 2
APPENDIX 2	- Geotechnical logs	11
APPENDIX 3	- Laboratory Test Results	. 4
APPENDIX 4	- Drawing	. 1
APPENDIX 5	- Rock anchors Design Method	. 7
APPENDIX 6	- Report scope	. 1

This report numbers 58 pages including appendices and must not be partially reproduced without the authorization of Groupe

Qualitas Inc.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Groupe Qualitas Inc. (referred to as Qualitas hereafter) was mandated by Rentech to carry out a site investigation for the construction project of new industrial facilities at the Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, in the Nord-Du-Québec region.

This report presents our geotechnical investigation pertaining to this project. The terms of reference of the report are in general accordance with our proposal dated April 17th, 2014 (Proposal No. 14-00971). The field works and recommendation have been carried out considering the project is at the feasibility stage.. The objective of the investigation is to give a geotechnical overlook of the site geology to provide general construction recommendations, to identify and review key geotechnical issues, and to provide a basis to develop construction cost estimates.

The report encloses in order of appearance: site and project description, investigation methodology, ground conditions, geotechnical recommendations and bearing capacity of soil and rock.

This geotechnical report was written for Rentech and the project engineering consultants in order to achieve the previously described objectives. Qualitas will have to be informed of any significant modification to the project in order to revalidate the recommendations stated in this report.

2.0 SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill is located near the town of Chapais, in Quebec (Figure 1). The site is bounded to the north by a CN railway and to the south by a partially backfilled area. An existing warehouse is located at the northwest corner of the site. The ground surface is generally inclined to the east and is irregular because of the presence of rock outcrops and some backfilled areas.. The investigated site has approximately 16 500 sq. m. of area.

This project plans for the construction of a plant and a storage building. The latter will be adjacent to the existing warehouse. Based on the information communicated by the design engineer, the plant is to be located on the eastern side of the site (boreholes B-01 to B-04, B-07 and B-08), while the new storage building is to be located on the northwest portion of the site (boreholes B-05, B-06, B-09, B-10 and B-11).



Figure 1 – Location Map

3.0 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION SUMMARY

3.1 GEOTECHNICAL FIELD WORK

The field work was conducted from May 2th to May 7th, 2014. The scope of work originally included 11 boreholes. However, because of access difficulties, only 7 of the original 11 boreholes (B-05 to B-11) were completed using a rotary drill rig (Longyear model L-34, mounted on a trailer). The boreholes B-02 to B-04 were carried out with an Acker Lighweight Motorized hoist and a portable Aluminium Derrick (Acker tripod). At the location of those 3 boreholes, the depth to bedrock was further defined using a Pionjar percussion rock drill. At the location of B-01, the bedrock was encountered near the surface (approximately 1.6 meter deep) using the Pionjar drill. The field work was carried out under the supervision of a qualified geotechnical technician.

Boreholes B-02 to B-11 were advanced using NW flush joint casings. In each borehole, Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) were performed as per ASTM D1586. At the same time SPT testing was conducted, disturbed soil samples were recovered using a standardized split-spoon sampler (outside diameter of 51 mm and length of 610 mm). SPT testing and soil sampling was carried at intervals of 0.75 m between the ground surface and 6.0 m of depth (or refusal on bedrock).

In boreholes B-05 to B-11, the rock was cored until 3 m of fair quality rock (RQD > 50%) or 6.0 m of total core length was recovered at each location . Rock core samples were recovered using NQ-caliber diamond core barrels. A general description of the rock was noted by the technician/geologist and *Recuperation* and *Rock Quality Designation* (RQD) were measured for each core run. *Solid Core Recovery* (SCR) was also determined for most core samples.

Table 1 shows the depth reached in each borehole as well as the elevation of the bedrock.

TABLE 1 : Depths reached in the boreholes						
Borehole	Date	Surface elevation (m)	Bedrock elevation (m)	End of borehole elevation (m)	Depth of borehole (m)	
B-01	2014-05-07	384.70	383.70	383.70	1.6	
B-02	2014-05-07	382.94	380.01	380.01	2.93	
B-03	2014-05-07	382.76	380.78	380.78	1.98	
B-04	2014-05-07	382.21	377.38	377.38	4.83	
B-05	2014-05-07	384.61	378.82	375.32	9.29	
B-06	2014-05-02	386.40	383.22	380.33	6.07	
B-07	2014-05-05	384.22	378.96	376.07	8.15	
B-08	2014-05-05	384.11	378.83	375.95	8.16	
B-09	2014-05-06	385.53	380.48	377.13	8.40	
B-10	2014-05-02	385.86	384.51	381.01	4.85	
B-11	2014-05-05	385.14	378.94	378.94	6.20	

The borehole logs are provided in Appendix 2, at the end of the report.

3.2 INSTRUMENTATION

Casagrande standpipe piezometers were installed in boreholes B-05 to B-11 to measure the groundwater levels in the overburden. The water level inside B-02 to B-04 was measured from within the casing as those boreholes were done last and were located beside a temporary lake maintained by thawing snow. The piezometers consist of a 19-mm diameter polyvinyl chloride plastic (PVC) pipe with a 300-mm long porous Casagrande tip at the bottom of the installation. The porous tip is surrounded by a silica sand filter pack. A bentonite plug is placed above the sand filter and around the PVC standpipe to provide a seal and the rest of the hole is backfilled with cuttings.

3.3 SURVEYING

The borehole locations were designated by the consultant engineer and surveyed on the field by Qualitas with a Trimble Pathfinder ProXRT GPS receiver. Wood survey stakes were left at the true location of every hole for the as-realized survey (to be carried out by others if required). The survey was done in the UTM NAD83 system. Elevations are geodetic and were established using a benchmark anchored in a nearby rock outcrop. The benchmark location and elevation is found on drawing 7001420-01 of appendix 4.

The location of every borehole is shown on the overall site plan (drawing No. 7001420-01) in Appendix 4.

3.4 LABORATORY TESTING

All soil samples and rock core samples were brought back to Qualitas laboratory in Jonquiere (Quebec), to be described and classified. Laboratory tests were performed on selected samples. The complete laboratory testing program is presented in Table 2.

Table 2 : Laboratory tests					
Tests	Standard	Quantity			
Grain Size Analysis of Retained and Passing Material on 5 mm Sieve with Washing of the 80 μm Sieve	LC 21-040	4			
Uniaxial Compressive strength	ASTM D2938	2			

The unused samples will be stored for a period of one year after the publication date of this report. Unless otherwise specified by Rentech, the samples will be destroyed once this time period has elapsed.



4.0 GROUND CONDITIONS

4.1 GENERAL

Subsurface conditions encountered at specific locations are shown on the borehole logs enclosed in Appendix 2 and discussed below. The general soil profiles observed in the boreholes are reasonably similar, with expected variations in soil composition and unit thickness.

In the following sections, the soil description has been interpreted and simplified to major strata for the purpose of geotechnical analysis. The soil profile is presented in descending order, from the shallowest unit to the deepest.

4.2 SURFICIAL LAYERS

Surficial layer may vary between boreholes locations, from topsoil, to fill materials to organic soil. Table 3 shows the depth at which these layers were encountered as well as their thickness.

The topsoil layer was observed in boreholes B-02, B-03, B-04 and B-08. Generally the topsoil layer, composed of moss, roots and other organics at various stages of decomposition, is less than 0.85 m thick. In borehole B-02, a 0,70 m thick loose sand and silt layer underlies the topsoil layer.

Fill material composed of sand with some silt overlies organic matter in boreholes B-06 and B-09 from the surface to 2,50 m deep, approximately. The organic layer is 0,6 m thick.

Table 3: Thickness of topsoil, fill and organic soil encountered at boreholes					
Borehole Depth (m) Thickness (m)		Thickness (m)	Description		
B-02	0.00	0.95	0.25	Topsoil	
B-02	0.25	0.95	0.70	Loose sand and silt	
B-03	0.00	0.30	0.30	Topsoil	
B-04	0.00	0.85	0.85	Topsoil	
B-06	0.00	2.55	2.55	Fill: Sand with some silt and gravel	
B-06	2.55	3.10	0.55	Organic soil	
B-08	0.00	0.09	0.09	Fill: Silty sand	
B-08	0.09	0.30	0.21	Topsoil	
B-09	0.00	2.25	2.25	Fill: Sand with some silt and traces of gravel	
B-09	2.25	2.50	0.25	Fill: Sand with some gravel, presence of wood chips.	
B-09	2.50	3.10	0.60	Organic soil	

4.3 GLACIAL DEPOSIT (TILL)

The surficial layers overlies a till deposit 1.35 to 5.79 m thick (except at borehole B-06, in which it is 0.08 m thick). The till is mostly composed of silty sand, with some gravel. It also contains cobbles and boulders.

In boreholes B-04 and B-05, a 1.4 m thick, very loose to loose sand and silt layer (SPT N values between 3 to 9), included in the till deposit was encountered at depths of 2.2 m and 4.4 m respectively.

Grain size distribution curves, presented in Appendix 3, show gravel contents between 12% and 33%, sand contents between 45.6% and 62.8% and silt contents between 13.6% and 25.2%. The very loose to loose sand and silt layer encountered in borehole B-04 and B-05 has 1%, 57.2 % and 41.8 % of gravel, sand and silt, respectively.

SPT N values obtained from the till deposit (except boreholes B-04 and B-05 sand and silt layer) vary from 14 to 80 blows per 300 mm indicating compactness ranging from



compact to very dense. On average, the N values approximated a blow count of 30 which indicate a compact layer.

Table 4 shows the depth at which the till deposit was encountered and its thickness.

Table 4: Thickness of till layer encountered at boreholes						
Borobolo D		Depth (m) Thi		Description		
Borenoic	From	То	(m)	Description		
B-02	0.95	2.93	1.98	Sand, some silt and gravel		
B-03	0.30	1.98	1.68	Silty sand, some gravel		
B-04	0.85	4.83	3.98	Silty sand, some gravel		
B-04*	2.2	3.60	1.4	Sand and silt		
B-05	0.00	4.4	4.4	Silty sand, trace of gravel		
B-05*	4.4	5.79	1.39	Sand and silt		
B-06	3.10	3.18	0.08	Sand		
B-07	0.00	5.26	5.26	Silty sand, some gravel, trace of cobbles		
B-08	0.30	5.28	4.98	Silty sand, some gravel and cobbles, trace of boulders		
B-09	3.10	5.05	1.95	Silty sand, some gravel		
B-10	0.00	1.35	1.35	Silty sand, trace of gravel		
B-11	0.00	1.68	1.68	Silty sand, trace of gravel and cobbles		

* Very loose to loose layer of sand and silt embedded in the till deposit

4.4 BEDROCK

Rock core samples were recovered in boreholes B-05 to B-11. Table 5 shows the depth at which bedrock was encountered and the length of the recovered core. Total core recovery as well as RQD values are also shown.

Table 5: Thickness of Bedrock encountered at boreholes							
_	Depth (m)		Length of	Recovery	ROD		
Borehole	Sample	From	То	core (m)	(%)	(%)	
	CR-9	5.79	6.45		100	86	
D OF	CR-10	6.45	7.25	2 50	100	?	
В-05	CR-11	7.25	8.04	3.50	100	51	
	CR-12	8.04	9.29		100	96	
	CR-6	3.18	4.46	2.80	100	100	
B-00	CR-7	4.46	6.07	2.09	100	100	
	CR-9	5.26	5.92		100	90	
B-07	CR-10	5.92	7.45	2.89	100	85	
	CR-11	7.45	8.15		100	86	
	CR-10	5.28	6.58	2.00	100	92	
B-00	CR-11	6.58	8.16	2.00	100	100	
	CR-8	5.05	5.54	3.35	100	?	
B-09	CR-9	5.54	6.84		100	85	
	CR-10	6.84	8.40		100	100	
	CR-3	1.35	1.87		100	65	
D 10	CR-4	1.87	2.87	2 50	100	78	
B-10	CR-5	2.87	3.98	3.50	100	90	
	CR-6	3.98	4.85		100	80	
	CR-4	1.68	2.79		100	59	
B-11	CR-5	2.79	4.40	4 52	100	82	
0-11	CR-6	4.40	5.30	7.02	100	56	
	Į Į	CR-7	5.30	6.20		100	89

The bedrock consists of a light to dark green, aphanitic to fine grained, massive to volcaniclastic, mafic volcanic rock. The rock is affected by a strong carbonate alteration and a weak to moderate sericitic alteration. A strong penetrative schistosity is present throughout and is generally oriented at 60° to the core axis (every boreholes were drilled vertical). Calcite veinlets are locally found; the veinlets crosscut the schistosity and are moderately dipping.

The uniaxial compressive strength of the rock as well as density was measured on two samples. The results of those measurements are presented in table 6 bellow.

Table 6: Uniaxial Compressive Strength and Density of Selected Samples					
SampleUniaxial CompressiveDensity, γ(Borehole, Sample, Depth)Strength, (MPa)kg/m³					
B-08, CR9, 5.39 m	85.7	2748			
B-11, CR-5, 4.03 m	65.2	2789			

Upon examination of the core, three families of structures were discriminated. The first and most prominent family of structures is represented by a weakness plane coplanar with the schistosity. The surface planes of the second family are generally sub-vertical to steeply dipping. The structures representing the third family are sub-horizontal. For each joint set, the spacing and joint condition are presented in table 7. This table also shows the rating for each parameter needed to calculate the RMR Rating (after Bieniawski, 1989).

Table 7:							
Value fo	Value for each parameter used to calculate the RMR						
	Rating (a	after Bieniawski, 198	89)				
Parameter	r		RMR Rating				
Intact rock	Strength	65 – 85 MPa	13				
RQD		50 - 100	13-20				
Spacing	Family 1	0.2 m	10				
	Family 2	0.45 m	20				
	Family 3	1.0 m	20				
Joint	Family 1	Slickensided	6				
condition	Family 2	Smooth to Slightly	12				
		rough					
	Family 3	Rough	22				
Water Cor	ndition		10				
Orientatio	n of joints		0				

The RMR value was evaluated using Bieniawski (1989) and varies from 50 to 70 with a mean value of 57 indicating a rock of fair quality (Class III).

The bedrock elevations on site range from 384.51 to 377.38 m. The higher and lower elevations were encountered respectively at borehole B-10 and B-04.

4.5 GROUND WATER

Groundwater levels were measured in Casagrande piezometers installed in boreholes B-05 to B-11. The depth and elevation of the groundwater table at different test locations are shown in Table 8.

Table 8:					
Groundwater Level					
Test Location	Ground	Date of			
Test Location	Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	measurement		
B-02*	0.60	382.34	2014-05-07		
B-03*	0.00	382.76	2014-05-07		
B-04*	0.00	382.21	2014-05-07		
B-05	1.82	382.79	2014-05-07		
B-06	3.34	383.06	2014-05-07		
B-07	1.60	382.66	2014-05-07		
B-08	0.87	383.24	2014-05-07		
B-09	2.45	383.08	2014-05-07		
B-10	1.60	384.26	2014-05-07		
B-11	0.38	384.76	2014-05-07		

* Presumably stabilized water level observed during a drilling stop, no piezometer installed

It should be noted that groundwater levels can change with climatic conditions and that they are subjected to seasonal variations.

5.0 GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS SUMMARY

The overburden is mostly composed of compact till underlying a layer of surficial soils such as top soil, fill or organic soil, which is usually less than 1 meter thick except at boreholes B-06 and B-09, where the thickness is 2.5 m. The occurrence of cobbles and boulders in the till may vary at the site since it was only reported in boreholes B-07, B-08 and B-11.

Shallow bedrock was encountered in every borehole, usually at less than 5 meters of depth

A 1.4 m-thick, very loose to loose sand and silt layer was detected in the till deposit at 2.2 m and 4.4 m of depth in boreholes B-04 and B-05, respectively. The loose state of the layer and its presence needs to be considered in the design.

The bedrock is composed of a mafic volcanic rock with three major families of structures. Based on the RMR values, the rock quality is considered fair.

High groundwater table conditions were encountered at the site, usually at a depth less than 1.5 m.

5.2 PROJECT SUMMARY

The current geotechnical investigation covered most of the site for the construction of new industrial facilities at the Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill. Based on a sketch given by the consultant, the plant's floor will be at level 384.0 m (geodetic elevation) while the storage building's floor will be at level 387.0 m. The floor in both cases will be a slab-on-grade. The embedded depth of foundation will be controlled by the frost penetration depth in this area.



General geotechnical recommendations are given in the following sections, as well as comments pertaining to construction issues.

5.3 FROST PROTECTION

5.3.1 Freezing Index and Frost Penetration

Based on Canada's normal freezing index map [CFEM 2006, Figure 13.6], the mean freezing index in the Barette-Chapais area is approximately 2250 °C-days. The corresponding design (maximum) freezing index reaches 3005 °C-days (approximately 5450 °F-days). The frost penetration is dependent on the freezing index, the type of soil and the water content. For this study, a maximum frost penetration of 2.5 m was estimated.

5.3.2 Frost Protection for Shallow Foundations on Soil

In order to provide sufficient frost protection, all exterior footings (and interior footings in unheated buildings) should be embedded 2.5 m bellow the finished ground level.

Alternatively, rigid board insulation could be used to protect the footings. We recommend using STYROFOAM High Load HI40 or equivalent for this purpose. The thermal insulation should be designed in accordance to the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM 2006), Article 13.5.2 or by the manufacturer's specifications.

5.4 STABILITY OF EXCAVATIONS AND DEWATERING

5.4.1 Sloping of trench walls

During construction, the walls of trenches in the overburden or the rock should be sloped in accordance with the *Code de sécurité pour les travaux de construction* [2001, S-21, r.6) of the Commission sur la Santé et la Sécurité au Travail (CSST). At any time, the contractor will be responsible for the stability of the excavations. However, the following guidelines can be used by the designer to estimate excavation volume and



cost.

With adequate groundwater control (see article 5.4.2 below), excavations less than 8 meters deep in the till should have walls sloped at 1.0H:1.0V or flatter.

The walls of excavations in soil should be inspected regularly for any signs of instability.

Excavated materials and heavy vehicles should not be allowed at distance less than one time the excavation depth from the edge of the excavation.

5.4.2 Water Control

Surface runoffs should be controlled by sloping the ground away from the excavation, constructing dikes around the top of the trench or with permanent or temporary collection ditches. Excavation under the groundwater table shall be required. The contractor will have to provide an adequate dewatering system in order to conveniently compact the fill material that will be required for this project. Groundwater pumped out from the excavation will likely have to be desilted before it is rejected to nearby lakes or creeks.

5.5 BACKFILLING OF FOUNDATIONS

The exterior of the foundation walls should be backfilled with a free draining, non-frost susceptible granular fill meeting the specifications of a MG-112 sand, as described in BNQ standard NQ 2560-114. The backfill material shall be placed in lifts not exceeding 300 mm, compacted at 92% of the Modified Proctor maximum dry density. The backfill should extend up the floor elevation and the top layer should be 2.0 m wide with 1H: 1V slope to protect foundation from frost penetration.

The top layer should be constructed with a less permeable material (such as compacted till) and sloped away from the foundations, to minimize runoff infiltration along the wall.

The backfill specifications for the interior side of the wall (under the floor slab) are given in the next article.



To prevent structural damages to the foundation wall, backfilling should be done simultaneously on both sides of the wall. The backfill level difference between the inside and the outside should not exceed 600 mm.

5.6 SLAB-ON-GRADE AND MODULUS OF SUBGRADE REACTION (K_s)

The following recommendations are common practice for the design of slab-on-grade.

- Remove the topsoil or any existing fill to expose the undisturbed till deposit or the bedrock;
- At shallow rock locations, a tapered transition (see article 6.3) should be provided between the sloping rock and the adjacent till deposit;
- Replace excavated soils to base level with a granular fill constructed with MG-112 sand. Lifts shall not be thicker than 300 mm and compacted to 95% of the material's maximum dry density, as defined by the Modified Proctor method of compaction;
- The base course thickness will be designed to meet the required bearing capacity for the slab. The base will be constructed with MG-20 crushed gravel (as described in NQ 2560-114), in lifts less than 300 mm thick, compacted to 95% of the Modified Proctor maximum dry density (hereafter referred to as MPMDD);
- The modulus of subgrade reaction for the sub-base, MG-112 compacted to 95% MPMDD shall be taken equal to 50 MN/m3.

5.7 ROCK ANCHORS

If required to provide uplift resistance we recommend using mechanical rock anchors equipped with an expansion shell (NCA AR series or equivalent). The size of the steel rod and diameter of the mechanical shell anchor should be designed according to the manufacturer specification.

To protect the steel from rust every anchor should be completely injected using a shrinkage compensated grout. Every anchor should be proof tested with a calibrated



torque-wrench.

The Qualitas anchor design procedure is provided in Appendix 5. The design parameters are provided in Table 7.

Table 7 : Rock Anchors Design Parameters					
Parameters					
Failure mode	Symbol	Description	Design Value		
Failure of the steel tendon/top anchorage, expansion shell	σ _y	Tensile strength of anchorage	See manufacturer specs		
Rock mass failure	β	Failure cone apex half angle	45°		
	γ	Unit weight of rock	26 kN/m ³		

5.8 ROCK BLASTING

The following recommendations shall be followed during rock blasting operations:

- Close-control of throw and flyrocks;
- Limitation of peak particle velocity (PPV) to 25 mm/s;
- > No blasting in proximity of freshly poured concrete.

Usual safety measures for rock blasting works should also be applied. Loose rock debris shall be completely removed from the blasted trenches. Under adequate PPV control, the bedrock bearing capacity will remain unchanged after blasting, although some settlements could occur (less than 25 mm). Also, rock anchors design shall take into account the fractured layer of rock from the blast. As a conservative design approach, the rock/grout bond contribution over the first meter below the bottom of the blasted trench should be neglected.



5.9 INTERACTION OF ADJACENT FOOTINGS

When footings are installed too close to one another, their pressure bulbs overlap, causing a stress buildup and increased settlements in the soils (footings on rock are not subjected to such constraints). Precise calculation of this effect is difficult to achieve. Instead, it is preferable to keep a minimum distance between the foundations to keep the stress bulbs from overlapping. As shown in Figure 2 below (from Bowles, 1997, Fifth Edition), this minimum distance is equal to 3B for strip (continuous) footings, and 1.5B for square footings (where B is the width of the foundation).



Figure 2 - Stress bulb under square and strip footing (from Boussinesq equation).

Moreover, footings installed on the rock at different levels should be positioned as recommended on Figure 3.



- B: Width of footing on level 1 (Niveau 1);
- B': Width of footing on level 2 (Niveau 2);
- C: Recommended distance between foundations.

Figure 3 – Horizontal distance between foundations at different levels (footings on rock only)

5.10 SEISMIC SITE CLASSIFICATION

Spectral acceleration values for short (0.2 s) and long (1.0 s) periods are provided in Table 8 based on the <u>National Building Code of Canada 2010</u> (NBCC2010) Seismic Hazard Calculation. These spectral acceleration values have a 2% probability of exceedance in 50 years. Since bedrock is near the surface (less than 5 m in most boreholes), site class is C.

Table 8 : Spectral accelerations					
Foundations supporting soil/rock	Seismic Class	PGA (g)	Sa (0.2) (g)	Sa (1.0) (g)	
Till on fractured rock	С	0.036	0.098	0.065	

6.0 BEARING CAPACITIES AND SETTLEMENTS

6.1 GENERAL

A plant and a storage building will be constructed at the Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill. The plant's floor will be set at elevation 384 m while the storage's floor will be at elevation 387 m. In both cases, a frost protection of 2.5 m has been considered for calculations.

6.2 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Detailed subsurface conditions have been given in Section 4.0. The borehole logsd can also be consulted in Appendix 2. The test locations are shown on Drawing No. 7001420-0, in Appendix 4.

The investigation work has shown that the bedrock elevation is variable throughout the site and it can be encountered anywhere between the surface and 6 meters of depth. We must therefore assume that the buildings foundations will be constructed on different supporting materials such as: rock, till, or an engineered fill. Recommendations for these three cases are provided in sections below.

6.3 GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SHALLOW FOUNDATIONS

The following general recommendations apply for the installation of shallow foundations (in supplement of the recommendations given in Chapter 5.0):

i- Prior to excavation, water control systems (as described in Section 5.4.2) should be installed to manage the water coming from nearby lakes, rivers, surface runoffs, and underground water;



- ii- Under the buildings foundation, we recommend excavating 500 mm bellow the footing level in the undisturbed till. The bottom of excavation should be statically compacted (to avoid any soil liquefaction under the vibration, bull liver effect);
- iii- A 500-mm-thick pad should be placed on the compacted till in lifts of 300 mm before compaction. The pad should be constructed using MG56 or MG20 crushed aggregates. The first lift should be statically compacted to avoid liquefaction of the underlying soil. The second lift should be compacted using a vibration roller to 95 % of MPMDD (see article 6.6).

The purpose of this pad is to install a supporting material with similar strength properties under the foundation since it will most probably overlie different materials.

A very loose to loose sand and silt layer was observed inside B-04 and B-05 and could be present elsewhere. If encountered, precaution will have to be taken to insure it is not disturbed. First, this material will have to be over-excavated an extra 500 mm, and covered by a geotextile (TEXEL 9619 or equivalent). Then the 500- mm-deep over-excavation should be backfilled using a MG-112 sand placed in layers 300 mm thick and statically compacted. Then the excavation will be fully backfilled using the crushed aggregates specified above;

iv- Most of the excavated material will be glacial till, composed of silty sand, with some gravel. Material may contain significant percentages of cobbles and boulders;

This material is not likely to be reused to build dikes, road embankments, or in subgrade fill sections because of its high moisture content. For such usage, the material moisture content will have to be kept close to the optimum water content determined by the Proctor tests. Therefore, we recommend stockpiling only dry or slightly moist till. The stockpiles will have to be protected from the rain. Also, boulders bigger than 300 mm will have to be removed from the till before it is used as construction material;

- v- As previously mentioned, the trenches shall be adequately dewatered. The bottom of the trenches shall be sloped to avoid ponding. Water will have to be redirected toward a sum and pumped out of the excavation;
- vi- Where structural fills are to be built on very uneven bedrock, 5,0H:1,0V benches transitions shall be excavated in the rock or constructed with lean concrete;



vii- Where footings are installed directly on the rock, the latter shall be pressure-washed before concrete is poured. Rock dowels shall be installed where the bedrock surface is dipping more than 10 degrees to prevent sliding of the footing.

6.4 ROCK FOUNDATIONS

Based on rock core samples examination, we have considered that the foundations would rest on fractured but un-weathered rock.

Bearing capacity on rock is governed exclusively by the settlements associated with the defects in the rock (therefore by the Serviceability Limit State). In the present case, the SLS value for settlements lower than 25 mm is equivalent to an allowable bearing capacity of **1,5 MPa** (including a Factor of Safety of 3.0).

The bearing capacity and the following design values shall be used for mafic volcanic rock found at the site.

Table 9: Rock properties				
Property	Value			
Unit Weight	26 kN/m ³			
Unconfined compressive strength (UCS)	65 MPa			

Weathered rock and loose fragments should be excavated under the supervision of skilled technician and the surface should be approved by a geologist. In any case, rock foundations should be constructed on a clean, flat surface.

6.5 FOUNDATIONS ON UNDISTURBED TILL

The ultimate bearing capacity of shallow foundations over soil may be calculated from Terzaghi's general equation as shown in the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM 2006), Section 10.2.1. :

$$q_u = cN_cS_c + q_sN_qS_q + \frac{1}{2}\gamma BN_\gamma S_\gamma$$

Taking out the first term of the equation for cohesionless soil (c = 0), the ULS shall be calculated with the following values for foundations bearing on a structural fill or on natural undisturbed till:

Table 10: Design values for bearing capacity of shallow foundation on soil						
Bearing material	Parameters					
Bearing material	γ	Ysub	φ'	N_q , N_γ	S _q , S _g	
Undisturbed till	20 kN/m³	10.2 kN/m³	30	CFEM (2006) Table 10.1	CFEM (2006) Table 10.2	
Structural fill	22 kN/m ³	12.2 kN/m³	40	CFEM (2006) Table 10.1	CFEM (2006) Table 10.2	

The geotechnical resistance at the ultimate limit state (ULS) must be reduced by the appropriate geotechnical resistance factor (Φ) to provide the factored geotechnical bearing resistance for foundation design. For the bearing capacity of shallow foundations, Φ = 0.5. However, in the present case, the foundations should be designed in order to limit settlements to allowable values. Therefore SLS values shall be used for most applications.

Serviceability Limit Strength is given in Table 11 below for foundations with the following specifications:



Table 11: Bearing Capacity of Shallow Foundation on soil				
SLS (kPa)				
	B ≤ 2 m	B ≤ 2 m	Total	
Bearing material	(for the plant)	for the	settlement	
		storage	(mm)	
		building		
Footing on till with 500-mm crush gravel pad	200	200	< 25	

6.6 FILL MATERIAL

Crushed waste rock can be use as engineered fill material. The rock should be massive, non-altered and not subjected to swelling (caused by the presence of potentially swelling ferrous sulphides). The swelling potential of the rock has not been evaluated for the investigated site.

The crushed rock shall be well graded with grain size ranging from 0 to 150 mm, with at least 50% retained on the 25 mm sieve and less than 10% of fines (passing the 80 μ m sieve). The crushed rock shall be placed in 300-mm-thick layers, compacted to 95% of the MPMDD. A transition layer at least 300-mm thick will be inserted between the crushed rock fill and the crushed gravel pad of the foundations. This transition layer will be composed of MG-56 crushed gravel. It will be placed in one 300-mm-thick lift, compacted at 95% of MPMDD. If required, the materials should be wetted to achieve the required compaction levels.

APPENDIX 1

GEOTECHNICAL LOGS EXPLANATION SHEET





EXPLANATIONS OF THE "BOREHOLE LOG" FORM

This column gives the scale of depth used (in meters or in feet), related to the ground level, or as indicated otherwise in the column "stratigraphy" (boring platform, barge floor, ice cover,...).

B- SAMPLE:

DEPTH:

A-

State: this column shows the position, length and state of each sample in the borehole. The sampling symbol illustrates the state of the sample, following the legend given in the borehole log heading.

Type and Number: each sample is numbered following the recovery order and the given notation refers to the type of sampling as described below:

CF:	standard split spoon (51 mm φ)	LA:	recovered by washing
CF _n :	split spoon, N size (76 mm φ)	MA:	manual sampling
TM:	thin wall tube	TU:	recovered with casing
PS:	Osterberg sampler thin wall tube	TA:	auger sampling
CR:	core barrel sampling		0 1 0

Recovery: sample recovery is given in percentage of the penetration length of the sampler into the soil. The length of the sample is measured from the top of the sampler to the cutting edge of the sampler, even if the lower part of the sample in lost.

C- FIELD AND LABORATORY TESTS:

This column gives the results of field and laboratory tests performed at the corresponding depth:

Field Tests:	Ν	:	Standard Penetration Test (blows per 300 mm of penetration);
	N _{dc}	:	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Test (blows per 300 mm of penetration);
	cu	:	Undrained Shear Strength (undisturbed state) (kPa);
	c _{ur}	:	Undrained Shear Strength (remoulded state) (kPa);
	\mathbf{S}_{t}	:	Sensitivity $(S_t = c_u/c_{ur});$
	P _L	:	Pressuremeter Limit Pressure (kPa);
	E	:	Pressuremeter Modulus (MPa);
	k	:	Field Permeability Test (cm/s); $k_{bt} = Casing End Test; k_L: Lefranc Test$
	Abs	:	Rock Absorption (1/min/m).

The Standard Penetration Resistance given in this column refers to the N Index value. This index is obtained from the SPT and corresponds to the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler into the soil on a 300-mm depth with a 63-kg hammer dropped 76 cm.

• Laboratory Tests:

AG::	Grain Size Analysis;	k _o :	Coefficient of permeability (labo.) (cm/s);
W _o :	Water Content (%);	ČĬU:	Consolidated Isotropically Undrained Triaxial Test;
W _P :	Plastic Limit (%);	P _{mod} :	Optimum-moisture Density Test (Modified Proctor
w _L :	Liquid Limit (%);		Method);
D_r :	Specific Gravity;	P _{nor} :	Optimum-moisture Density Test (Standard Proctor
γ_h :	Moist Unit Weight (kg/m ³);		Method);
c_{u1} :	Laboratory Vane (undisturbed shear);	σ_{c} :	Compressive Strength (MPa);
Curl:	Laboratory Vane (remoulded shear);	γ _b :	Concrete Unit Weight (kg/m ³);
S_t :	Sensitivity;	γ _r :	Rock Unit Weight (kg/m ³);
$\sigma_{\rm p}$:	Uni-axial Consolidation Test;	Ċ97:	Absorption (%);
r		UU:	Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test;

Not all the above-mentioned laboratory or field tests will be identified in the general heading of the borehole log. Results of some tests will be presented on figures in appendix, these figures being identified between parentheses, beside the related test.

R.Q.D.: The Rock Quality Designation index is obtained from the rock cores by summing up the length of core recovered L_i , counting only those pieces of sound core that are 100 mm or more in length. It is expressed as a percentage of the ratio of the summed core lengths to the total cored length (L_c):

R.Q.D. (%) =
$$\left(\frac{\Sigma L_i \ge 10 \text{ cm}}{L_c} \right) \times 100$$

D- STRATIGRAPHY:

1- Column "Elev/Depth"

this column gives the elevation and depth of boundaries between the various strata. The elevation is referred to the datum indicated in the general heading. The depths are related to the ground surface, unless indicated otherwise in the "soil or rock description".



EXPLANATIONS OF THE 'BOREHOLE LOG' FORM (continued)

D- STRATIGRAPHY: (continued)

2- **Description** : each strata is described using the standard geotechnical terminology:

Classif	ication	Particule Size	Terminology	Content
CL	AY	smaller than 0,002 mm	"trace"	1 - 10 %
SI	LT	from 0,002 to 0,080 mm	"some"	10 - 20 %
SA	ND	from 0,080 to 5 mm	adjective (sandy, silty, etc.)	20 - 35 %
GRA	VEL	from 5 to 80 mm	"and" (sand and gravel, etc.)	35 - 50 %
COB	BLES	from 80 to 300 mm	_	
BOUL	DERS	bigger than 300 mm		

Compactness condition	Standard Penetration Test Index N (blows per 200 mm of penetration)	Consistency (cohesive soils)	Undrained Shear Strength, (kPa)
Very loose	$\begin{array}{c} 0 - 4 \\ 4 - 10 \\ 10 - 30 \\ 30 - 50 \\ 50 - 100 \\ more than 100 \end{array}$	Very soft	less than 12
Loose		Soft	12 - 25
Medium Dense		Firm	25 - 50
Dense		Stiff	50 - 100
Very Dense		Very stiff	100 - 200
Extremely dense		Hard	more than 200
Plasticity of cohesive soils	Liquid Limit,	Sensitivity of	Sensitivity S_t
	WL	cohesive soils	(c_u/c_{ur})
Low	lower than 30 %	Low sensitivity	< 10

cohesive soils	w _L	cohesive soils	(c_u/c_{ur})
Low Medium High	lower than 30 % between 30 % and 50 % higher than 50 %	Low sensitivity Medium sensitivity High Sensitivity Extra-high sensitivity Ultra-high sensitivity	< 10 10-30 30-50 50-100 > 100

Rock Quality Classification	Quality Index* (RQD %)
Very poor	< 25
Poor	25 - 50
Fair	50 - 75
Good	75 - 90
Excellent	90 -100

*After Table 3.6, Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual, C.G.S. [1985]

3- Stratigraphy:

Symbols in this column come from the Unified Soil Classification System. The most common soil types are designated by the following stratigraphic symbols:



Water level: The groundwater level measured during the boring campaign is indicated graphically in this column by a horizontal line and a black triangle. Elevation ans date of the last measure are indicated in the general heading of the borehole log.



This column contains observations noticed during boring or after samples examination. Water contents and Atterberg limits can be plotted on graph, as well as dynamic cone penetrometer test profile (DCPT, when performed). The DCPT differs from the SPT method by penetration of a steel cone of 60° apex angle and 51 mm of diameter, driven into the strata under constant energy, mostly at 475 N-m.

Furthermore, undrained shear strength profile of cohesive soil layers, obtained by field vane, can be presented in this column.

cu

APPENDIX 2

GEOTECHNICAL LOGS





Date(s):

2014-05-07

Dossier no:

7001420

Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities, Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Élévation du terrain : 384.70 m (Geodesic) Foreuse: Pionjär Coord. nord: 5517215.035m Coord. Éffectué par: R. Tremblay, tech. Vérifié par: P-A. Konrad, Jr. Eng. Approuvé par: A. Duchesne, Eng. ÉTAT TYPE D'ÉCHANTILLON ESSAIS IN SITU Approuvé par: A. Duchesne, Eng. ÉTAT TYPE D'ÉCHANTILLON ESSAIS IN SITU AG : Analy (coups / 300mm). AG : Analy W : Tener Vérifié par: PERDU PMT Essai pressiométrique. Pour l'identification des symboles inhérents aux essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en début de cette annexe. Wu : Limite Umpraule	rd. est: 217343.846m Date: 2014-05-23 EN LABORATOIRE Iyse granulométrique. eur en eau naturelle. ite de plasticité. ite de plasticité. sté relative des grains		
ÉTAT TYPE D'ÉCHANTILLON ESSAIS IN SITU ESSAIS E INTACT CF : Carottier fendu (standard). N : Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). AG : Analy PERDU PERDU PMT : Tube carottier. N': Essai de pénétration des symboles inhérents aux essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en début de cette annexe. AG : Analy Calibre des tubages: ESSAIS IN SITU ESSAIS IN SITU AG : Analy	EN LABORATOIRE ilyse granulométrique. eur en eau naturelle. ite de plasticité. ite de liquidité. sité relative des grains		
LINTACT CF : Carottier fendu (standard). N : Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). AG : Analy W : Tener PERDU PERDU PMT : Essai pressiométrique. N : Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). MG : Analy W : Tener CAROTTÉ CAROTTÉ Essai pressiométrique. Pour l'identification des symboles inhérents aux essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en début de cette annexe. MC : Limite des tubages:	lyse granulométrique. eur en eau naturelle. ite de plasticité. ite de liquidité. sité relative des grains		
	 AG : Analyse granulométrique. W : Teneur en eau naturelle. W_p : Limite de plasticité. W_L : Limite de liquidité. Dr : Densité relative des grains. 		
	ı		
LABORATOIRE (m) 384.70 Surface du terrain	NOTES		
1 0.00 Undifferentiated fill material. 383.04 383.04 2 1.66 3 1.66 5 6 6 6 7 1 8 1 9 1			

REMARQUES:

Page 1 de 1

B-01



7001420

Date(s):

2014-05-07

Dossier no: 7

Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities,

Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

	e:				
3.769n	5517188	i9m Coo	ord. est: 217359.849m		
esne,	r: A. Duche	e, Eng.	Date: 2014-05-23		
<u>E</u> ;		ESSAIS	<u>EN LABORATOIRE</u>		
A W W D	d). N : Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). V <u>Commentaire:</u> V Pour l'identification des symboles inhérents aux essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en début de cette annexe.				
		NIVEA	U		
ш		D'EAU	Ĩ		
WBOL			NOTES		
	е.				
	id with	1-05-07			
a e		0m 201			
0 0 0		0.60			
a (4) (4)	-1.	. o			
	ск.				
	ense.		0.60m 2014-C		

Page 1 de 1

B-02



Date(s):

2014-05-07

5-07 Dossier no:

5-07 **7001420**

Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities, Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Emplacement:			Type de forage:		
Élévation du terrain : 38	2.76 m ((Geodesic) Foreu	se: Acker tripod Coord. nord: 551719	98.734m Coo	ord. est: 217307.58m
	ay, tech.		Son Alo IV OLTU	Teshe, Eng.	Date: 2014-05-23
<u>EIAI</u>		<u>HANTILLON</u>	<u>ESSAIS IN SITU</u> N. N.: Essai de nénétration standard	AG · Ana	<u>EN LABORATOIRE</u>
REMANIÉ PERDU CAROTTÉ	TM : Tube CR : Tube PMT : Essa	à paroi mince. carottier. i pressiométrique.	 (coups / 300mm). <u>Commentaire:</u> Pour l'identification des symboles inhérents au essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en débu 	W : Ter W _P : Lim W _L : Lim Dr : Der	leur en eau naturelle. ite de plasticité. ite de liquidité. Isité relative des grains.
ÉCHANTI			de cette annexe. STRATIGRAPHIE		
Profonde (mètres ETAT ET NUMER Échant	CALIBR REC % /300 mm	EN LABORATOIRE	DESCRIPTION 382.76 Surface du terrain	SYMBOL	NOTES
	- 83 4		0.00 Topsoil. <u>382.46</u> Greenish gray silty sand.	-05-07	
55-2 -	- 67 14		381.56 1.20 Greenish gray silty sand with	0m 2014	
2 SS-3 -	- 63 65		some gravel. Very dense.	0.0	
			1.97 End of borehole on bedrock.		

REMARQUES:

Page 1 de 1

B-03



7001420

Date(s):

2014-05-07

5-07 Dossier no:

Projet - Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities,

Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Empl	aceme	ent:							Type de forage:					
Éléva	ation d	u terrain	38	2.21	m	(0	Geodesic) Foreu	se: Ac	ker tripod Coord. nord: 5517	208.699n	ר Cooi היים	rd. est: 217255.311m		
Effec	tue pa	r: R. I	remb	lay, t	ecn.	V	verifie par: P-A. K	onrad, .	Jr. Eng. Approuve par: A. Du	cnesne, i	ng.	Date: 2014-05-23		
<u>ET/</u>	<u>AT</u>			<u>TY</u>	PE D	D'ECH	IANTILLON	<u>ES.</u>	<u>SAIS IN SITU</u>	<u>E</u>	ESSAIS EN LABORATOIRE			
	IIII F F D C bre de	NTACT REMANIË PERDU CAROTTI ES tuba	É ges:	CF TM CR PM	: : T :	Caroti Tube Tube Essai	tier fendu (standard). à paroi mince. carottier. pressiométrique. N: Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). MW Commentaire: Pour l'identification des symboles inhérents aux essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en début					yse granulometrique. eur en eau naturelle. le de plasticité. le de liquidité. sité relative des grains.		
		ÉCH	IANT	ILLO	NS				STRATIGRAPHIE		NIVEAU			
Profondeur (mètres)	Interest CallBRE CallB						ESSAIS EN LABORATOIRE	<u>ÉLÉV.</u> PROF (m) 382.21	DESCRIPTION Surface du terrain	SYMBOLE	D'EAU	NOTES		
-	\mathbb{N}	SS-1	-	-	100	7		0.00	Topsoil.		07			
		66.2			02	-		381.36 0.85	Grav silty sand. Compact.		014-05-0			
E	\bigtriangleup	33-2	-	-	05	-		380.86			m 2 m			
_ 	\times	SS-3	-	-	42	17 -		1.35	Greenish gray sand and silt. Very loose to compact.		0.0			
3	\ge	SS-4	-	-	75	8 -								
_	\bigtriangleup	SS-5	-	-	75	3		378.61						
4	\mathbf{X}	SS-6	-	-	75	24		3.60 377.86	Greenish gray, sand with some silt. Compact.		-			
5	X	SS-7	-	-	61	25 -		4.35 377.11	Greenish gray silty sand with some gravel. Compact.	0.0	5 5 7			
- 6 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7								5.10	End of borenole on Dedfock.					

REMARQUES:

Page 1 de 1

B-04



Date(s):

2014-05-03

5-03 Dossier no:

Dossier no: Sondage no: 7001420

Page 1 de 1

B-05

Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities, Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Emplace	ement	t:							Type de forage:			
Élévatio	n du f	terrain :	38	4.61	m	(G	Geodesic) Foreu	se: L-3	34 Coord. nord: 55172	14.769m	Coor	d. est: 217199.545m
Effectué	e par:	R. T	remb	lay, t	ech.	V	'érifié par: P-A. K	onrad, .	Ir. Eng. Approuvé par: A. Duci	nesne, E	ng.	Date: 2014-05-23
<u>ÉTAT</u>				<u>TY</u>	PE D)'ÉCH	<u>IANTILLON</u>	<u>ES</u>	<u>SAIS IN SITU</u>	<u>E</u> S	SSAIS E	EN LABORATOIRE
Calibre	☐ IN ⁻] RE] PE] CA e des	TACT EMANIÉ ERDU AROTTÉ S tuba ç	ges:	CF TM CR PM	: : : : :	Carott Tube Tube Essai	tier fendu (standaro à paroi mince. carottier. pressiométrique.	i). N : Pou ess exp de l	Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). <u>mmentaire:</u> ur l'identification des symboles inhérents au ais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes licatives sur les rapports de forage, en déb cette annexe.	AC W W x Di ut	G : Analy : Tene : Limit : Limit : Dens	yse granulométrique. eur en eau naturelle. e de plasticité. e de liquidité. sité relative des grains.
		ÉCH	ANT	ILLO	NS				STRATIGRAPHIE		NIVEAU	
s) leur		. °2	hti	RE	%	gε	ESSAIS	ÉLÉV.		щ	D'EAU	
rofonc (mètre			Échar env.	CALIB	REC	Nb. cou /300 m	EN LABORATOIRE	PROF (m)	DESCRIPTION	YMBOI		NOTES
		~						384.61	Surface du terrain Greenish gray silty sand with	S I	99 D.Y	
		SS-1	-	-	92	10 -		0.00	traces of gravel. Compact to very dense.	9		
		SS-2	-	-	75	48 -	Frozen					
F,D	$\langle $	SS-3	-	-	75	67	Frozen	382.66			_	
						-		1.95	Greenish gray silty sand.		-07	
		SS-4	-	-	0	-	N: 2/600mm		Compact.		4-05	
3						-					201	
-		SS-5	-	-	0	14					79m	
					-	-					382.	
	$\langle :$	SS-6	-	-	-	32						
FΕ	\rightarrow					-		380.21	Croonish grov cond and silt			
E.N	$\langle \rangle$	SS-7	-	-	100	4		4.40	trace of gravel. Very loose to			
- ³ /	\rightarrow					-			loose			
ΕD	$\langle \rangle$	SS-8	-	-	100	9				0		
F 6 H						-		378.82 5.79	Bedrock: green, epiclastic to			
_		CR-9	-	-	100	-			massive mafic volcanic rock			
						80						
7	C	CR-10	-	-	100	-						
E H						-						
–	c	CR-11	-	-	100	-						
8						51						
	c	CR-12	-	-	100	-						
9						96		375 32				
F								9.29	End of borehole			
F												
	RQI	UES:			1	1					1	



Dossier no:

Date(s):

2014-05-02

7001420

Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities, Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Empla	aceme	ent:							Type de forage:			
Éléva	ition d	u terrain	38	6.40	m	(G	eodesic) Foreu	se: L-3	Coord. nord: 5517219	9.435m	Coor	d. est: 217150.463m
Effect	ue pa	r: R. I	remb	lay, t	ecn.	V	erifie par: P-A. K	onrad, J	Approuve par: A. Duche	esne, E	ing.	Date: 2014-05-23
<u>ETA</u>	T			<u>TY</u>	PE D	D'ECH	IANTILLON	<u>ES</u>	SAIS IN SITU	<u>ES</u>	<u>SSAIS E</u>	EN LABORATOIRE
	bre d	NTACT REMANIÉ PERDU CAROTTI es tuba	É ges:	CF TM CR PM	т:	Carott Tube Tube Essai	ier fendu (standaro à paroi mince. carottier. pressiométrique.	d). N : <u>Coi</u> Pou ess exp de o	Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). <u>mmentaire:</u> Ir l'identification des symboles inhérents aux ais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes licatives sur les rapports de forage, en début cette annexe.	G : Anal : Tene : Limit : Limit : Dens	yse granulométrique. eur en eau naturelle. e de plasticité. e de liquidité. sité relative des grains.	
<u>ر</u>		ÉCH	IANT	ILLO	NS				STRATIGRAPHIE		NIVEAU	
Profondeur (mètres)	ЕТАТ	TYPE ET NUMERO	Échanti env.	CALIBRE	REC %	Nb. coups /300 mm	ESSAIS EN LABORATOIRE	<u>ÉLÉV.</u> PROF (m) 386.40	DESCRIPTION Surface du terrain	SYMBOLE	D'EAU	NOTES
	Х	SS-1	-	-	83	45 -	Frozen	0.00	Fill : Gray sand with some silt. Frozen at the moment of the field work.			
		SS-2	-	-	100	100 -	N: Refusal Frozen			9 8 8 9 9 9 9	<u></u>	
2 	\times	SS-3	-	-	44	120 -	Frozen			8 9 1 5 9 1	2014-05-0	
	\sim	SS-4	-	-	58	25 -		383.85 2.55 383.30	Topsoil.		83.06m 2	
	Î	SS-5 CR-6	-	-	83	-	N: Refusal	3.10 383.22 3.18	Gray sand. Loose.		© ¥	
4					100	- 100						
6		CR-7	-	-	100	- 100		380.33				
- 7 - 7 - 8 - 8 - 9 - 9								6.07	End of borehole			

REMARQUES:

Page 1 de 1

B-06



Dossier no:

7001420

Date(s):

2014-05-05

Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities, Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Emplacement: Type de forage: 217328.02m (Geodesic) L-34 Coord. est: Foreuse: Coord. nord: 5517163.884m Élévation du terrain : 384.22 m R. Tremblay, tech. Vérifié par: P-A. Konrad, Jr. Eng. Approuvé par: A. Duchesne, Eng. Effectué par: Date: 2014-05-23 ÉTAT **TYPE D'ÉCHANTILLON** ESSAIS IN SITU ESSAIS EN LABORATOIRE N : Essai de pénétration standard AG : Analyse granulométrique. CF : Carottier fendu (standard). ΤМ : Tube à paroi mince. (coups / 300mm). W : Teneur en eau naturelle. REMANIÉ : Limite de plasticité. W_{P} CR : Tube carottier. Commentaire: PERDU W_L : Limite de liquidité. PMT : Essai pressiométrique. Pour l'identification des symboles inhérents aux Dr : Densité relative des grains. CAROTTÉ essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en début Calibre des tubages: de cette annexe ÉCHANTILLONS STRATIGRAPHIE NIVEAU D'EAU ET NUMERO Profondeu CALIBRE Échanti env. ESSAIS ÉLÉV. SYMBOLE % coups 0 mm mètres ETAT TYPE ΕN PROF NOTES REC DESCRIPTION <u>Nb. с</u> /300 LABORATOIRE (m) Surface du terrain 384.22 0.00 Greenish gray silty sand with SS-1 43 42 some gravel. Presence of cobbles. Dense to very dense. SS-2 48 50 -6 SS-3 67 35 . 5 o P 2014-05 SS-4 50 60 -66m 3 382 SS-5 33 49 4 SS-6 . 42 80 0 SS-7 0 50 -5 378.96 SS-8 0 5.26 Bedrock: -CR-9 96 . 6 91 **CR-10** 10 -7 85 CR-11 100 8 86 376.07 End of borehole 8.15 9

REMARQUES:

Page 1 de 1

B-07



Dossier no:

7001420

Date(s):

2014-05-05

05-05

Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities, Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Emplacement: Type de forage: L-34 Coord. est: 217275.751m (Geodesic) Foreuse: Coord. nord: 5517173.849m Élévation du terrain : 384.11 m R. Tremblay, tech. Vérifié par: P-A. Konrad, Jr. Eng. Approuvé par: A. Duchesne, Eng. Effectué par: Date: 2014-05-23 ÉTAT **TYPE D'ÉCHANTILLON** ESSAIS IN SITU ESSAIS EN LABORATOIRE CF N : Essai de pénétration standard AG : Analyse granulométrique. : Carottier fendu (standard). ΤМ : Tube à paroi mince. (coups / 300mm). W : Teneur en eau naturelle. REMANIÉ : Limite de plasticité. W CR : Tube carottier. Commentaire: PERDU W_L : Limite de liquidité. PMT : Essai pressiométrique. Pour l'identification des symboles inhérents aux Dr : Densité relative des grains. CAROTTÉ essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en début Calibre des tubages: de cette annexe ÉCHANTILLONS STRATIGRAPHIE NIVEAU D'EAU ET NUMERO Profondeu CALIBRE Échanti env. ESSAIS ÉLÉV. SYMBOLE % coups 0 mm mètres ETAT TYPE ΕN PROF NOTES REC DESCRIPTION <u>Nb. с</u> /300 LABORATOIRE (m) 384.11 Surface du terrain 0.00 Fill: Silty sand. SS-1 75 17 384.02 Topsoil. о 0 0.09 Greenish gray silty sand, some 383.81 0.30 gravel. Compact to dense. SS-2 26 -83 6 • From 1.27 m to 1.70 m of depth : 9 9 CR-3 100 _ boulder 430 mm. 4 4 Ś SS-4 42 42 • • 24m 383. SS-5 50 -24 381.11 3 3.00 Greenish gray silty sand with SS-6 42 22 some gravel. Presence of cobbles. Compact to very dense. From 3.78 m to 4.43 m of depth : CR-7 83 _ boulder 650 mm. -SS-8 33 54 5 378.83 SS-9 83 -18 5.28 Bedrock: 6 σ_{c} = 86 MPa **CR-10** 100 -92 7 **CR-11** _ 100 100 8 375.95 8.16 End of borehole 9

REMARQUES:

Page 1 de 1

B-08



Dossier no:

Date(s):

2014-05-06

7001420

Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities,

Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Empla	aceme	ent:								Type de forage:					
Éléva	tion d	lu terrain :	38	5.53	m	(G	eodesic) Foreu	se: L-3	34	Coord. nord:	5517185.76	9m	Coor	d. est:	217206.98m
Effect	ué pa	ar: R.T	remb	lay, te	ech.	V	érifié par: P-A. K	onrad, 、	Jr. Eng.	Approuvé par:	A. Duchesne	e, En	g.		Date: 2014-05-23
<u>ÉTA</u>	T			<u>T</u> Y	PE D)'ÉCH	IANTILLON	<u>ES</u>	<u>SAIS IN SITU</u>			ESS	AIS E	EN LAB	ORATOIRE
	INTACT CF : Carottier fendu (stand TM : Tube à paroi mince. CR : Tube carottier. PERDU PMT : Essai pressiométriqu CAROTTÉ Calibre des tubages:								 N: Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). Commentaire: Pour l'identification des symboles inhérents aux essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en début de cette approxe AG: Analyse granulométrique. W : Teneur en eau naturelle. W_P : Limite de plasticité. Dr : Densité relative des grains. 						ulométrique. au naturelle. sticité. idité. ve des grains.
		ÉCH	IANT	ILLO	NS				STRA	TIGRAPHIE		N	IVEAU		
Profondeur (mètres)	ЕТАТ	TYPE ET NUMERO	Échanti env.	CALIBRE	REC %	Nb. coups /300 mm	ESSAIS EN LABORATOIRE	ÉLÉV. PROF (m) 385.53	DEs Surface du te	SCRIPTION errain			D'EAU		NOTES
_	X	SS-1	-	-	75	7 -		0.00	Fill: Sand wit traces of grav	h some silt and /el.		8	-07		
		SS-2	-	-	0	1 -						6	1 2014-05		
- <u>2</u>		SS-3	-	-	42	34 -		383.28	Fill: Sand wit	h some gravel			383.08m		
_ 3	\bigcirc	SS-4	-	-	42	17 -		\ <u>383.03</u> / 2.50 382.43	Presence of Topsoil.	wood chips.					
	\propto	SS-5	-	-	83	33 -		3.10	Greenish gra Compact.	y silty sand.	0 3 4 5 4 6				
-	X	SS-6	-	-	75	19 -		381.33 4.20	Greenish gra some gravel.	y silty sand wit Very dense.	h				
- 5		SS-7	-	-	43	140		380.48	Ū						
- - - -		CR-8	-	-	86	-		5.05	Bedrock:						
- - - 7		CR-9	-	-	100	- 85									
- - - 8 -	I	CR-10	-	-	100	- 100		377.13							
- 9 - 9 								8.40	End of boreh	ole					

REMARQUES:

Page 1 de 1

B-09



Dossier no:

Date(s):

2014-05-02

7001420 Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities,

Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Empla	Emplacement: Type de forage:											
Eleva	ition d tué pa	lu terrain ar: R. T	: 38 remb	85.86 lay, t	m ech.	(C V	feodesic) Foreu /érifié par: P-A. K	se: L Conrad, L	Jr. Eng. Approuvé par: A. Duché	esne, E	Eng.	Date: 2014-05-23
ÉTA	T			TY	PE D)'ÉCH	IANTILLON	ES	SAIS IN SITU	ES	SAIS E	
	bre d	INTACT REMANIÉ PERDU CAROTTI	≘ ≘ ges:	CF TM CR PM	: : : : :	Caroti Tube Tube Essai	tier fendu (standard à paroi mince. carottier. pressiométrique.	r fendu (standard). paroi mince. arottier. ressiométrique. N : Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). Commentaire: Pour l'identification des symboles inhérents aux essais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes explicatives sur les rapports de forage, en début de cette annexe				yse granulométrique. eur en eau naturelle. e de plasticité. e de liquidité. sité relative des grains.
		ÉCH	IANT	ïLLO	NS				STRATIGRAPHIE		NIVEAU	
Profondeur (mètres)	ЕТАТ	TYPE ET NUMERO	Échanti env.	CALIBRE	REC %	Nb. coups /300 mm	ESSAIS EN LABORATOIRE	<u>ÉLÉV.</u> PROF (m) 385.86	DESCRIPTION Surface du terrain	SYMBOLE	D'EAU	NOTES
	X	SS-1	-	-	100	35 -	Frozen	0.00	Greenish gray silty sand with traces of gravel. Frozen at the moment of the field work.	9 (5 10 0		
		SS-2	-	-	100	104 -	Frozen	384.51	Bedrock:	• • •		
- 2 -		CR-3	-	-	100	- 65					-05-07	
_ _ 3		CR-4	-	-	100	- 78					26m 2014	
- - - 4		CR-5	-	-	100	- 90					384.	
		CR-6	-	-	100	- 80		381.01	End of borobolo			
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -												

REMARQUES:

Page 1 de 1

B-10



Dossier no:

Date(s):

2014-05-05

7001420 Projet : Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial facilities,

Barette-Chapais Lumber Mill, Chapais, Québec

Empla	aceme	ent:							Type de forage:				
Éléva	ation d	u terrain	: 38	5.14	m	(G	Geodesic) Foreu	se: L-3	34 Coord. nord: 55171	28.978n	ר Coor	d. est: 217206.886m	
Effec	tué pa	r: R. T	remb	lay, te	ech.	V	'érifié par: P-A. K	onrad, J	Ir. Eng. Approuvé par: A. Duc	hesne, I	Eng.	Date: 2014-05-23	
ÉTA	<u>T</u>			ΤY	PE D	D'ÉCH	IANTILLON	<u>ES</u> .	<u>SAIS IN SITU</u>	<u>E</u> S	SSAIS E	EN LABORATOIRE	
	F F F D C bre d	NTACT REMANIÉ PERDU CAROTTI ES tuba	É ges:	CF TM CR PM	: : T :	Carott Tube Tube Essai	tier fendu (standaro à paroi mince. carottier. pressiométrique.	d). N : <u>Co</u> Pou ess exp de o	Essai de pénétration standard (coups / 300mm). <u>mmentaire:</u> ur l'identification des symboles inhérents au ais in situ et en laboratoire, voir les notes licatives sur les rapports de forage, en déb cette annexe	Ad W W W IX D	 G : Analyse granulometrique. V : Teneur en eau naturelle. V_P : Limite de plasticité. V_L : Limite de liquidité. or : Densité relative des grains. 		
		ÉCH	IANT	ILLO	NS				STRATIGRAPHIE		NIVEAU		
eur s)		õ	ti	R	%	s -	ESSAIS	ÉLÉV.		щ	D'EAU		
rofond (mètre	ETAI		Échan env.	CALIBI	REC %	Nb. cou /300 mr	EN LABORATOIRE	PROF (m)	DESCRIPTION	WBOL		NOTES	
<u>a</u>				_				0.00	Greenish gray silty sand with	0			
F	X	SS-1	-	-	83	30			traces of gravel. Presence of	6 Q	₹		
Ę,	$\overline{}$	55-2	_	_	100	30	N: Refusal on		cobbles. Compact.		2-07		
	\sim	00-2		-	100	-	a cobble			9 9 0 9	-4 9		
╞	$\mathbf{>}$							202.46			201		
Ε,		55-3	-	-	56	35	N: Refusal on bedrock	1.68	Bedrock:		76m		
		CR-4	_	_	100						384.		
E		011-4	_	-	100	- 58							
E ₃	,					50							
 	\setminus	CR-5	-	-	100	- 82	_{σc} = 65 MPa						
5		CR-6	-	-	100	- 56							
6		CR-7	-	-	100	- 89		378.94					
F								6.20	End of borehole		<u></u>		
E													
7													
F													
È,													
8													
F													
F.													
Ļ													
F													
F													

REMARQUES:

Page 1 de 1

B-11

APPENDIX 3

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS





3306, boul. Saint-François Jonquière, (Québec), G7X 2W9 Téléphone : (418) 547-5716 Télécopieur : (418) 547-0374

: 7001420 Soumis à Christopher Amey Dossier N° : 2014-05-14 Rentech Date 10887 Wilshire Blvd Los Angeles, California Entrepreneur Projet Geotechnical Study, Construction of new industrial Facilities, Barette-Chapais Lumber Mills, Chapais Quebec Localisation . RENSEIGNEMENTS GÉNÉRAUX Réal Tremblay No échantillon : 14-SG-08687 Prélevé par : En place Type de matériau : Sable et gravier Source : Forage Date de l'essai : 2014-05-14 Calibre du matériau Usage proposé : Analyse Lieu de prélèvement : B-02, SS-4 (2,25 à 2,85 m.) Date de prélèvement 👔 2014-05-07 Date de réception : 2014-05-09 GRANULOMÉTRIE Sill Gravier Caillou Bloc Sable 10.0 (LC 21-040) Ρ 80 Tamis % passant Exigences а Cu s min. max. 60 S а 40 40 mm 100 n Сс 31,5 mm 100 t 20 (%) 20 mm 88 0 14 mm 83 0,01 0.1 10 0 10 0 0 10 10 mm 78 Diamètre (mm) 5 mm 69 ESSAIS DIVERS Résultats Exigences 2,5 mm 60 min. max. 1,25 mm 50 0,630 mm 40 30 0,315 mm 0,160 mm 19 0,080 mm 13.6 Méthode : PROCTOR MODIFIÉ (NQ 2501-255) kg/m³ Masse volumique sèche maximale : Humidité optimale : % MODULE DE FINESSE : 3,66 REMARQUE : * Un astérisque accompagne tout résultat individuel non conforme lorsque les exigences sont spécifiées. Sable fin à grossier graveleux avec un peu de silt. Vérifié par Denis Potvin Chef laboratoire Chargé de projet 1



3306, boul. Saint-François Jonquière, (Québec), G7X 2W9 Téléphone : (418) 547-5716 Télécopieur : (418) 547-0374

Soumis à	: Christophe Rentech 10887 Wilsh Los Angeles	r Amey ire Blvd California			Dossier N° Date	: 700 : 201	1420 4-05-14
Entrepreneur	r i						
Projet	Facilities, E	arette-Chapais	ruction of new in Lumber Mills, Cl	dustrial napaís Quebec			
Localisation							
			RENSEIGNE	MENTS GÉNÉRAUX	(
No échantillo Type de maté	on :14 ériau :Sa	-SG-08688 ble		Prélevé par Source	: Réal Trembl : En place	lay	
Calibre du m Usage propo	atériau : Fo sé : A n	rage alvse		Date de l'essai	: 2014-05-13		
Lieu de prélè	vement : B-(03 SS-2 (0,75 a	à 1,35 m.)				
Date de prélè	evement : 20	14-05-07		Date de réception	: 2014-05-09		
GR	ANULOMÉTR	IE			Sablo	Craviar	Ceilleu Dies
	(LC 21-040)		10 0				
Tamis	% passant	Exigences	P 80				
		min. max.	S 60				
31,5 mm	100						
14 mm	91		(%)				
10 mm 5 mm	90 88			0,01 0.1	<u>1</u>	10	100 1000
2,5 mm	86					mm)	
1,25 mm 0,630 mm	85 82			LUCKIO DIVERS		Cesultats	min. max
0,315 mm	72						
0,160 mm 0.080 mm	49 25.2						
					2		
			PI		(NQ 2501-255)	Métho	de :
MODULE DE	FINESSE : 1,5	6	· ·	wasse volumique se Hum	che maximale : idité optimale :	к <u>і</u> %	j/m*
REMARQUE : * U	In astérisque acco	mpagne tout résu	Itat individuel non	conforme lorsque les exi	igences sont spécif	īées.	
Sal	ble fin à grossier s	ilteux avec un peu	ı de gravier.	·			
				Vérifié par	: Do	mp	tim
				Chargé de p	Denis F rojet 🔢	Potvin Chef	laboratoire



3306, boul. Saint-François Jonquière, (Québec), G7X 2W9 Téléphone : (418) 547-5716 Télécopieur : (418) 547-0374

Soumis à Entrepreneur Projet	Christophe Rentech 10887 Wilshi Los Angeles, : : Geotechnic	r Amey re Blvd California cal Study, Constru	uction of	Dossie Date	r N° : 700 ⁷ : 2014	1420 4-05-14
Localisation	Facilities, B	sarette-Chapais L	umber N	nins, Chapais Quebec		
Localisation	•		DENG			
No échantillo Type de maté Calibre du ma Usage propos Lieu de prélè Date de prélè	n : 14 priau : Sa atériau : Fo sé : An vement : B-(vement : 20	-SG-08690 Ible rage Ialyse 05 SS-7 (4,50 à 14-05-03	5,10 m.	Prélevé par : Réal ⁻ Source : En pla Date de l'essai : 2014-	Fremblay ace 05-14 05-09	
GR	ANULOMÉTR	RIE		Silt Sable	Gravier	Caillou Bloc
	(LC 21-040)					
40 mm 31,5 mm 20 mm 14 mm 10 mm 5 mm 2,5 mm 1,25 mm 0,630 mm 0,315 mm 0,160 mm 0,080 mm	100 100 100 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 95 73 41,8	min. max.	Cu	s 60 s 40 n t 20 (%) 0,01 0.1 1 Dia ESSAIS DIVERS	10 mètre (mm) Résultats	Exigences min. max.
MODULE DE	E FINESSE: 0,	37		PROCTOR MODIFIÉ (NQ 2501- Masse volumique sèche maxi Humidité opti	255) Métho male : k male : %	de : g/m³ %
REMARQUE : * U Sa	In astérisque acco ble fin et silt.	ompagne tout résul	ltat indivic	luel non conforme lorsque les exigences sor	lt spécifiées.	
				Vérifié par 💠	Deni	Potini
					Denis Potvin Chef	laboratoire



3306, boul. Saint-François Jonquière, (Québec), G7X 2W9 Téléphone : (418) 547-5716 Télécopieur : (418) 547-0374

Soumis à	Christophe Rentech 10887 Wilsh Los Angeles	er Amey ire Blvd , California			Dossier N Date	N° : 7 : 2	001420 014-05-14	
Entrepreneu	r 🕯							
Projet	: Geotechnic Facilities, E	al Study, Constr Barette-Chapais I	uction of new ind umber Mills, Ch	dustrial apais Quebec				
Localisation	:							
			RENSEIGNE	MENTS GÉNÉRAU	ĸ			
No échantillo	on : 14	-SG-08691		Prélevé par	: Réal Tre	emblay		
l lype de maté	eriau : Sa	ible et gravier		Source	: En place	e		
	ateriau Fo só Δn	rage		Date de l'essai	÷ 2014-05	5-13		
Lieu de prélè	vement B-	08 SS-2 (075 à	1.35 m.)					
Date de prélè	vement : 20	14-05-05	(1,00 m.)	Date de réception	: 2014-05-	-09		
GR			r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	(LC 21-040)		10 0	Sill	Sable	Grav	ier Caill	ou Bloc
Tamis	% passant	Exigences	P 80					
		min. max.	Cu a 5 60					
			S 3 40					
40 mm	100					+++++++++++		+-++++++
31,5 mm	100		t 20	+	┼┼┼┼╫╫──┼─	┽┥┥╢╢╌╌┼		┼╌┼╌┼┼┼┼┼
20 mm	97		0					
14 mm 10 mm	91			0,01 0.1	1	10	10 0	10 0 0
5 mm	67				Diamè	tre (mm)		
2,5 mm	57			ESSAIS DIVERS		Résultats	Exig	jences
1,25 mm	49						min.	max.
0,630 mm	42							
0,315 mm	35							
0,160 mm	28							
0,000 mm	21,4							
5.					(1)0.0504.05	E) 88.54		1
				lasse volumique cà	(NQ 2501-25:	5) Met	noae : ka/m³	
MODULE DE	FINESSE: 3,4	3		Hum	idité optima	ile :	% %	
REMARQUE : * U	n astérisque acco	mpagne tout résul	tat individuel non d	conforme lorsque les ex	igences sont s	nácifiáac		
Sat	ole fin à grossier g	ravelo-silteux.			igenieco sont si	pecinees.		
					, r	20	ot	
				Verifié par	·	lem	Tou	m
				Charoé de p	Dei proiet ::	nis Potvin Ch	ief laboratoi	re
				3e ao p	· · · · · ·			

APPENDIX 4

DRAWINGS





APPENDIX 5

ROCK ANCHORS DESIGN METHOD





ROCK ANCHOR CALCULATION METHOD

1. ROCK ANCHOR DIAGRAM

- L : Total anchor length (m)
- L_s : Bonded length (m)
- L_w : Cone depth (m)
- D : Diameter of the drilled hole (m)
- β : Half angle of the cone apex (°)
- P : Total pullout load (kN)





2. OBJECTIVES OF THE METHOD

The purpose of an anchorage system is to develop a resistance load higher than the pullout load.

 $R_g \ge P$ $R_g = R x \Phi$

where R_g : Factored geotechnical resistance (kN)

- R : Ultimate resistance load (kN)
- P : Total pullout load (kN)
- Φ : Resistance factor

Section 3 calculation below, consider 4 types of failure :

- Tensile stress in the steel rod
- Bond between steel rod and grout
- Bond between rock and grout
- Rock pull-up

The resistance must be calculated for each of these types of failure. The lowest resistance value obtained from those 4 criteria shall be used in the final design.



CALCULATION METHOD (continued)

3. CALCULATION METHOD

TENSILE STRESS IN THE STEEL ROD

The allowable resistance developed by the steel rod in function of the rod characteristics (section, tensile, yield strength ...). The steel rod manufacturer will specify the characteristics. The safety factor must be sufficient.

BOND BETWEEN THE STEEL ROD AND THE GROUT

The purpose of this calculation is to obtain a bonded rod length between the steel rod and the grout, which is long enough to develop the allowable resistance. This resistance is determined according to the following formula :

 $R_g = \pi d L_{s1} S_b$

where d : Rod diameter (m)

L_{s1} : Bonded length between rod and grout (m)

S_b : Bonded strength between rod and grout (kPa)

where $S_{b} = 0.95 \sqrt{f_{c}} x \Phi x 1000$ (kPa)

- f_c : Unconfined compression strength of the grout, generally specified as 30 MPa at 28 days (MPa)
- Φ : Resistance factor of 0.4

Thus $L_{s1} = \frac{R_g}{\pi \ d \ S_b}$

G-068A-RÉV-4.doc



CALCULATION METHOD (continued)

3.3 BOND BETWEEN THE ROCK AND THE GROUT

The purpose of this calculation is to obtain a bonded rod length between the rock and the grout, which is long enough to develop the allowable resistance. This resistance is determined according to the following formula :

 $R_g = \pi D L_{s2} S_r$

where D : Drilled hole diameter (m)

- L_{s2}: Bonded length between rock and grout (m)
- S_r: Bonded strength between rock and grout (kPa)

 S_r equals the lowest value obtained from the 3 following criteria :

- $S_r \le 0.1$ $q_u \ge 0.1$ $f_c \ge 0.1$ $f_c \ge 0.1$ $f_c \ge 0.1$ $S_r = 1.300$ kPa where q_u : Unconfined compressive strength of the rock (kPa)
 - f_{c} : Unconfined compressive strength of the grout, generally specified as 30 MPa at 28 days (kPa)
 - Φ : Resistance factor equal to 0.4

Thus $L_{s2} = \frac{R_g}{\pi D S_f}$

Furthermore, the following criteria must also be considered :

- a) For fair to excellent rock quality (RQD > 50 %), the bonded length L_{s2} must equal at least 30 times the drilled hole diameter of the anchor.
- b) For poor to very poor rock quality (RQD \leq 50 %), the bonded length L_{s2} must equal at least 40 times the drilled hole diameter of the anchor.
- c) For shale or rock with shaly beds, the bonded length L_{s2} must equal at least 80 times the drilled hole diameter of the anchor.
- d) For all other cases, the bonded strength L_{s2} must equal at least 3 m.



CALCULATION METHOD (continued)

3.4 ROCK PULL-UP

This calculation is used to evaluate the total anchor length required to ensure that the working load will be resisted safely without failure occurring in the rock mass. For this analysis, it is assumed that for a single rock anchor at failure, an inverted cone of rock is pulled out of the rock mass. The conical failure surface has its apex at the middle of the anchor assuming a contained angle of 2 times β .

 $R_g = L_w^3 \gamma \Phi \tan^2 \beta \qquad L_w = L - \underline{L_s} \text{ (see Figure 1)}$

- where $L_{\rm w}$: Length of the inverted cone, from the middle of the anchor to the bedrock (m)
 - L : Total anchor length (m)
 - L_s : Bonded length, higher value of L_{s1} and L_{s2} obtained from steps 3.2 and 3.3 (m)
 - γ : Unit weight of the rock (kN/m³)
 - β : Half angle of the cone apex (°)
 - β = 30 ° for very poor to poor rock quality (RQD \leq 50 %)
 - β = 45 ° for fair to excellent rock quality (RQD > 50 %)
 - Φ : Resistance factor equal to 0.4

Therefore, the total anchor length is :

$$L = L_w + \frac{L_s}{2}$$

or

$$L = \left(\frac{R_g}{\gamma \Phi \tan^2 \beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{L_s}{2}$$



CALCULATION METHOD (continued)

4. INTERACTION OF ANCHORS

4.1 <u>RECOMMENDED EXACT METHOD</u>

For a group of anchors, the interaction of the conical failure surface with that of each adjacent anchor should be taken into account by reducing the load per anchor as followed :

 $P' = \psi' P$

- where P': Reduced pullout load due to the interaction of one adjacent anchor (kPa)
 - P : Pullout load of one single anchor (kPa)
 - ψ' : Reduction factor to take into account adjacent anchors function of a/r

For 1 adjacent anchor : $\psi' = 0.5 + 0.4 a/r$ if 0 < a < 1.25 rFor 2 adjacent anchors : $\psi' = (0.5 + 0.4 \text{ a/r})^2$ if 0 < a < 1.25 r $\psi' = 1$ if $a \ge 1.25$ r

where a : Distance between 2 anchors (m)

r : Distance between the center of the anchor and the conical failure surface at the bedrock (m)





CALCULATION METHOD (continued)

4.2 CONCENTRATED ANCHORS, GLOBAL METHOD

A group of closely spaced anchors (between 5 and 10 times the drilled hole diameter) can be considered as one unit in rock pull-up. The rock failure surface forms an inverted truncated pyramid as shown in Figure 3.





CALCULATION METHOD (continued)

5. FURTHER RECOMMENDATIONS

The minimal distance between 2 adjacent anchors must be greater than 5 times the diameter of the drilled hole in the rock.

The holes have to be filled up with a lean grout above the bonded length in order to protect the anchors.

Two anchors will have to be tested on the site. The maximum load must reach at least 1.33 times the calculated resistance load R_{g} .

6. <u>REFERENCES</u>

- 1) BUREAU SECURITAS. *Recommandations concernant la conception, le calcul, l'exécution et le contrôle des tirants d'ancrage,* Eyrolles Editions, Paris, 1972.
- 2) LITTLEJOHN, G.S. and D.A. Bruce. *Rock Anchors State of the Art Part 1: Design, Ground Engineerin*g, May 1975, Vol. 8, n° 3.
- RADHAKRISHNA, H.S., J.J. Deans and F. Devisser. *Shallow Rock Anchors,* The Canadian Geotechnical Society, Papers for a Symposium on Anchor Systems in Geotechnical Engineering, 1986.
- 4) NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND. Design Manual Soil Mechanics, Foundations and Earth Structures, Virginia, 1971.

APPENDIX 6

REPORT SCOPE



REPORT SCOPE

1. USE OF REPORT

A. <u>Project modifications</u>: The factual data, interpretations and recommendations contained in this report refer to the specific project described in the report, and do not apply to any other project or site. Should the project be modified from a design, dimension, location or level point of view, Qualitas Inc. will have to be consulted so that we can confirm that the recommendations previously made remain valid and applicable.

B. <u>Number of boreholes</u>: The recommendations made in this report are only intended to serve as a guide to the design engineer. The number of boreholes needed to determine all underground conditions that can affect construction (costs, techniques, equipment, schedule, etc.) should normally be higher than the number needed for dimensioning. Contractors who bid or subcontract the work should rely on their own studies and their own interpretations of borehole factual results to form an appreciation of how the underground conditions could affect their work.

2. DRILLING REPORTS AND INTERPRETATION OF UNDERGROUND CONDITIONS

A. <u>Soil and rock descriptions</u>: The soil and rock descriptions in this report are based on commonly accepted classification and identification methods used in geotechnical practice. Soil and rock classification and identification call for judgment. Such descriptions can differ from those that another geotechnician with similar knowledge of good geotechnical practices might give.

B. Soil and rock conditions at borehole locations: Drilling reports only provide subsurface conditions at the borehole locations. The boundaries between the various strata on the drilling reports are often approximate, corresponding instead to transition zones, and were thus subject to interpretation. The accuracy with which underground conditions are indicated depends on the drilling method, sampling method and frequency, and uniformity of the terrain encountered. Borehole spacing, sampling frequency and type of drilling are also dictated by budget and schedule considerations between boreholes: Soil and rock conditions between boreholes: Soil and rock formations vary over a more or less greater

C. <u>Soil and rock conditions between boreholes</u>: Soil and rock formations vary over a more or less greater extent. Underground conditions between boreholes may vary with respect to the conditions encountered in the boreholes. Any interpretation of conditions between boreholes involves some risk. Such interpretations may lead to the discovery of conditions that differ from those anticipated. Qualitas Inc. cannot be held liable for the discovery of soil and rock conditions different from those described elsewhere than in the places where the boreholes were drilled.

D. <u>Groundwater levels</u>: The groundwater levels given in this report correspond solely to those observed in the place and date indicated in the report. These conditions may vary seasonally or as the result of construction on the site or on adjacent sites. Such variations are beyond the control of Qualitas Inc.

3. STUDY AND CONSTRUCTION FOLLOW UP

A. <u>Final phase verification</u>: Not all design and construction details are known at the time this report is issued. We therefore recommend that the services of Qualitas Inc. be retained to shed light on the consequences construction may have on the finished structure.

B. <u>Inspection during execution</u>: We recommend that the services of Qualitas Inc. be retained during construction to verify and confirm that subsurface conditions over the entire extent of the site do not differ from those given in the report, and that construction work will not have any negative impact on site conditions.

4. <u>CHANGED CONDITIONS</u>: The soil conditions described in this report are those observed at the time of the study. Unless otherwise indicated, these conditions form the basis of the report recommendations. Soil conditions can be altered significantly by construction work (traffic, excavation, etc.) on the site or on adjacent sites. An excavation can expose soil to changes due to humidity, drying or frost. Unless otherwise indicated, the soil should be protected against such changes or reworking during construction.

When the conditions encountered on the site differ significantly from those provided in this report due to the heterogeneous nature of the subsoil or construction work, it is up to the client and user of this report to notify Qualitas Inc. of any changes and to provide Qualitas Inc. with an opportunity to review the recommendations in this report. Recognizing changes in soil conditions requires a certain amount of experience. We therefore recommend that an experienced geotechnical engineer be seconded to the site to verify whether conditions have undergone any significant changes.

- 5. <u>DRAINAGE</u>: Groundwater drainage is often required for temporary as well as permanent project installations. Improper drainage design or execution can have serious consequences. Qualitas Inc. can in no case assume responsibility for the effects of drainage unless Qualitas Inc. is specifically involved in the detailed design and construction supervision of the drainage system.
- 6. <u>ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS</u>: In some cases, land on which Qualitas Inc. carries out its investigations may have been subject to contaminant spills, or the water table may contain pollutants originating from a site outside the land under study. Such conditions require an environmental characterisation study. The present geotechnical study was not carried out based on such a study. It should be noted that environmental laws and regulations can have significant impacts on project viability, orientation and costs. Such laws and regulations are subject to amendment and will have to be verified and taken into account during the project design and preparation phase.

